

Questions 11 & 33. How important are these issues and how well is the Aspen area doing with respect to these issues?

2011 Survey				2008 Survey			
2011 Topics	2011 Value Importance	2011 Assessment How well	2011 Assessment Value Difference	2008 Assessment Value Difference	2008 Value Importance	2008 Assessment How well	2008 Topics
Traffic	75%	16%	-59%	-81%	84%	3%	Traffic
Economic development	65%	31%	-34%	-26%	49%	23%	Economic development
Sense of community	80%	50%	-30%	-32%	82%	50%	Sense of community
Energy efficiency	68%	52%	-16%	-44%	81%	37%	Energy efficiency
Local airport	77%	64%	-13%	11%	60%	71%	Local airport
Environmental quality	83%	70%	-13%	-17%	89%	72%	Environmental quality
Scenic/visual quality	87%	78%	-9%	6%	89%	95%	Scenic/visual quality
Senior services	39%	31%	-8%	-18%	50%	32%	Senior services
Health care	71%	65%	-6%	-10%	79%	69%	Health care
Open space	72%	70%	-2%	15%	66%	81%	Open space
Public safety	76%	74%	-1%	9%	74%	83%	Public safety
Affordable housing	43%	42%	-1%	-23%	62%	39%	Affordable housing
Cultural diversity	46%	47%	1%	-5%	47%	42%	Cultural diversity
Child care	25%	32%	8%	-2%	31%	29%	Child care
Recreational opportunities	79%	89%	10%	16%	81%	97%	Recreational opportunities
Historic preservation	47%	58%	11%	7%	50%	57%	Historic preservation
Public transportation	67%	78%	11%	11%	65%	76%	Public transportation
Education	54%	70%	16%	15%	66%	81%	Education

Traffic Continues as the greatest value-performance gap, although gap has reduced over 30 points.

Economic development Continues as the second greatest value-performance gap; gap has increased by 8 points.

Energy efficiency Although still has a negative value-performance ratio, the gap reduced the greatest amount, 28 points

Local airport Originally had a positive value-performance ratio, now negative with a change of 24 points

Senior services Moderate improvement in value-performance ratio of 10 points

Affordable housing The value-performance ratio improved by 22 points.